

Translocation of Sphingoid Bases and Their 1-Phosphates, but Not Fumonisins, from Roots to Aerial Tissues of Maize Seedlings Watered with Fumonisins

Nicholas C. Zitomer,^{*,†} Samantha Jones,[‡] Charles Bacon,[†] Anthony E. Glenn,[†] Thomas Baldwin,[†] and Ronald T. Riley[†]

[†]Toxicology and Mycotoxin Research Unit, R. B. Russell Research Center, USDA–ARS, P.O. Box 5677, Athens, Georgia 30604, and [‡]Department of Biological Sciences, South Carolina State University, Orangeburg, South Carolina 29117

In an earlier study using maize seedlings grown from kernels inoculated with *Fusarium verticillioides*, fumonisin B₁ (FB₁) was preferentially accumulated in leaf tissue compared to FB₂ and FB₃. The present study tested whether maize seedlings preferentially translocate FB₁ when plants are watered with FB₁ and/or FB₂, without the fungus present. The results show that neither FB₁ nor FB₂ was translocated when administered in the watering solution, and although both FB₁ and FB₂ were taken up by the roots, the accumulation of FB₂ in roots was significantly less than expected, indicating that FB₁ was preferentially accumulated. In addition, there was clear evidence of ceramide synthase inhibition in the roots and sphingoid base and sphingoid base 1-phosphates accumulated in leaf tissue presumably due to translocation from the roots. These findings suggest that the fungus–plant interaction is necessary for FB₁ translocation in maize seedlings infected with *F. verticillioides*.

KEYWORDS: Fumonisins; *Fusarium verticillioides*; sphinganine; phytosphingosine; sphingoid base 1-phosphates

INTRODUCTION

The fumonisins (**Figure 1**) are potent inhibitors of ceramide synthase (1) and are produced in maize plants mainly by the pathogenic fungus *Fusarium verticillioides*. Ingestion of fumonisins by farm animals is known to result in a variety of diseases (2-4). Fumonisins have also been associated with plant diseases (5, 6), human carcinogenesis (7), and neural tube defects (8). Of the many naturally produced forms of fumonisins, fumonisin B₁ (FB₁) is the most prevalent in the environment, and this, combined with its toxicity, makes it the most significant form found in nature (9). There are many other fumonisins (10), but the forms found in maize most commonly are fumonisins B₁, B₂ (FB₂), and B₃ (FB₃) (9).

Fumonisins of the B-series disrupt sphingolipid metabolism in both animals and plants via inhibition of ceramide synthase, causing accumulation of free sphingoid bases and their 1phosphates (5, 11-13). Free sphingoid bases applied exogenously have been shown to be highly phytotoxic in *Lemna pausicostata* (14). Recent advances have pointed to sphingoid bases and sphingoid base 1-phosphates as signaling molecules in plants, as well as inducers of both increased proliferation and apoptosis (15-19). The sphingoid base 1-phosphates, sphingosine 1-phosphate and phytosphingosine 1-phosphate, have been shown to have direct involvement in guard cell response and stomatal aperture control (18). The state of stomatal aperture and control of those apertures could be affected during *Fusarium* pathogenesis as a consequence of elevated levels of sphingoid base 1-phosphates in leaf tissues. Elevations in these signaling molecules that are in direct or indirect control of basic transpirational functions could have a serious impact on disease states for affected plants.

The involvement of fumonisins as contributors to plant disease has been unclear until recently (20), although the exact mechanisms have yet to be directly linked to observed symptoms. It has

ноос		~~~~~ [≈] 0		$\stackrel{H}{\rightarrow} \stackrel{R_1}{\underset{NH_2}{\rightarrow}}$
Fumonisin Analog	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	Molecular Weight
FB	CH_3	он	ОН	721
FB_2	CH_3	он	н	705
FB_3	CH_3	н	ОН	705

Figure 1. Chemical structure of the naturally occurring B-series fumonisins, FB_1 , FB_2 , and FB_3 .

^{*}Corresponding author [telephone (706) 546-3106; fax (706) 546-3116; e-mail nik.zitomer@ars.usda.gov].

Article

been shown previously that watering with fumonisins results in reduced root weights, leaf lesions, and disruption of sphingolipid metabolism in the roots of maize seedlings (5). In the same work, it was shown that inoculation of maize seed with pathogenic, fumonisin-producing F. verticillioides prior to planting results in similar changes to the plants; however, the number and severity of leaf lesions were much greater in plants grown from inoculated seeds. These studies (5, 20) demonstrated that fumonisin production by F. verticillioides was required for pathogenesis in maize seedlings and that disruption of sphingolipid metabolism in roots was significantly correlated with disease effects on both roots and leaf tissue. As a means to demonstrate the requirement of fumonisin production for pathogenesis, Glenn et al. (20) showed that a nonpathogenic strain could be converted to a pathogenic strain via transformation with the gene cluster for fumonisin production. When fumonisin-producing strains (the natural producers and the transformants) were inoculated on maize seed prior to planting, they produced the same suite of symptoms in the maize seedlings. These studies demonstrated that fumonisin was necessary for the development of leaf lesions in maize seedlings and that the observed symptoms were similar, regardless of whether the fumonisin was introduced via watering or produced by the fungus. The translocation of fumonisins, sphingoid bases, and their 1-phosphates from roots to aerial tissues was not examined in these studies.

In a more recent study (21) we noted that FB₁ accumulates preferentially over FB₂ and FB₃ in maize leaf tissue of maize seedlings grown from seeds inoculated with fumonisin-producing strains of F. verticillioides. It was also found that sphingoid bases and sphingoid base 1-phosphates were significantly (p < 0.05) elevated in roots and aerial tissues. To test whether the difference in translocation of FB_1 over FB_2 was due to a specific interaction of the plant and fungus during pathogenesis or if it was specific to the plants themselves preferentially translocating FB₁ over FB₂ and FB₃, we utilized a watering experiment wherein the plants were challenged with purified FB_1 and FB_2 either singly or in combination with one another. We hypothesized that FB1 would be translocated into the aerial tissues of the developing maize seedlings but that FB₂ would be translocated much less, if at all. The overall goal of the study was to observe any signs of plant disease development in the treated plants, specifically focusing on the accumulation of fumonisins, sphingoid bases, and sphingoid base 1-phosphates in the roots and translocation to the leaves of the seedlings. This study addressed the following specific objectives utilizing a watering assay: (1) to determine if FB_1 is preferentially taken up by roots of maize seedlings compared to FB_2 ; (2) to determine if FB_1 compared to FB_2 is preferentially translocated into the aerial tissues of maize seedlings; (3) to determine if sphingoid bases and sphingoid base 1-phosphates accumulate in aerial tissues; and (4) to determine if accumulation of FBs or sphingoid bases and sphingoid base 1-phosphates is associated with disease development.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals. Acetonitrile (MeCN) (Burdick & Jackson, Muskegon, MI) and water (J. T. Baker, Phillipsburg, NJ) were of HPLC grade, and formic acid (>95%) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was of reagent grade. FB₁, FB₂, and FB₃ for standard preparation were provided as a gift from Ronald Plattner, National Center for Agricultural Utilization Research, USDA-ARS, Peoria, IL. *D-erythro*-C₁₇-Sphingosine-1-phosphate (C₁₇-So 1-P), *D-ribo*-phytosphingosine-1-phosphate (Pso 1-P), *D-erythro*-sphingosine 1-phosphate (So 1-P), and *D-erythro*-dihydrosphingosine-1-phosphate (Sa 1-P) were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids, Alabaster, AL. Phytosphingosine (t18:0) (Pso), *DL-erythro*-dihydrosphingosine (d18:0) (Sa), and *D-erythro*-sphingosine (d18:1) (So) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

D-*erythro*-C₁₆-Sphingosine (C₁₆-So) was from Matreya, Pleasant Gap, PA. Stock solutions, working standards, and internal standards were prepared as previously described (21). FB₁ and FB₂ used for the watering assays were from previous purifications from culture materials performed as described by Meredith (22). Watering solutions were prepared such that the final concentrations were FB₁ at 15.7 μ g/mL, FB₂ at 8.3 μ g/mL, and FB₁ with FB₂ at 15.7 and 8.3 μ g/mL respectively, all prepared in double-distilled H₂O (ddH₂O). The FB₂ solution contained trace amounts of FB₁ (<1% of the total FB). The difference in concentration of FB₁ versus FB₂ was intended to approximate that of natural occurrence in maize (23).

Extraction and Analysis of Fumonisins, Sphingoid Bases, and Sphingoid Base 1-Phosphates. The extraction procedure used has been reported previously (21). Briefly, lyophilized maize leaf tissues were ground to a powder, spiked with C₁₆-So and C₁₇-So 1-P internal standards (10 μ L each at 100 ng/ μ L), and then extracted with an aliquot of 1:1 MeCN/water + 5% formic acid (1 mL per 10 mg of tissue). The filtered extracts (100 μ L aliquots) were diluted into the initial mobile phase (900 μ L) used for reverse phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC). Soils were extracted in a similar manner in 1:1 MeCN/water + 5% formic acid (25 mL per 2 g of tissue). Percent recoveries of the fumonisins were calculated by taking the concentrations found in roots or soils, multiplying by the total amount of material harvested, and then dividing those numbers by the total amount of fumonisin applied in the watering experiment. This total was then multiplied by 100 to achieve a percentage.

All analyses were performed as described previously in Zitomer et al. (21). Briefly, analyses were conducted using a Finnigan Micro AS autosampler coupled to a Surveyor MS pump (Thermo-Fisher, Woodstock, GA). Separation was accomplished using a Metachem Inertsil $150 \times 3 \text{ mm}$ i.d., $5 \mu \text{m}$, ODS-3 column (Metachem Technologies, Inc., Torrance, CA). Column effluent was coupled to a Finnigan LTQ linear ion trap mass spectrometer (MS).

Maize Line and Maize Seedling Assay. The maize line used was 'Silver Queen' (Gurney's Seed and Nursery Co., Yankton, SD), a sweet corn line that is susceptible to *F. verticillioides*-induced maize seedling disease (5). Maize kernels were treated as described previously (5). Briefly, untreated kernels were surface-disinfected for 10 min in 100% commercial bleach (5.25% hypochlorite), rinsed with sterile water, and allowed to imbibe for 4 h in sterile water. The seeds were then heat shocked by placing them in a 60 °C water bath for 5 min for internal sterilization (24). Three replicates of 10 seeds each were planted in sterile 10 cm plastic azalea pots (Hummert International, Earth City, MO) containing twice-autoclaved commercial potting soil mix.

Pots were watered as needed throughout the duration of the assay. Experimental treatments were administered by watering with 100 mL of the experimental solutions on days 2, 4, and 6 after planting. Assays were performed under aseptic conditions in a plant growth chamber at 30 °C under a 14 h light (cool-white, high-output fluorescent tubes at an average of $254 \,\mu \text{mol/m}^2/\text{s}$)/10 h dark regimen at 20 °C. Plant tissues were collected for analysis 14 days after planting. During harvest the shoot portions of the seedlings were separated into first, second, and third leaves (numbered in order of their emergence and expansion) for each replicate pot of 10 plants. Leaves were excised approximately 5 mm from the ligule, such that all portions of the sheath were excluded from the analyses. Root tissues were also collected and processed as described previously (5). The root and leaf tissues were analyzed for FB₁ and FB₂, sphingoid bases, and sphingoid base 1-phosphates.

A second experiment was performed in which the plants were watered by allowing the water to soak up from below the pots, rather than watering from the soil surface. In this way, the tops of the pots were never disturbed, and the water was absorbed from the bottom up. Other than watering, the plants were treated identically and harvested in the same manner. The reason for watering from "below" was to ensure that there was no possibility for fumonisin to contact any aerial parts of the seedling during the watering process. The root and leaf tissues were analyzed for FB₁ and FB₂.

Statistical Analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using Sigma-Stat software (Jandel Scientific, San Rafael, CA). When many groups were compared, one-way analysis of variance was used, followed by post hoc multiple comparisons. The Pearson product moment correlation was used to measure the strength of the association between pairs of variables.



Figure 2. Fumonisin accumulation in roots (**A**) and soils (**B**) of seedlings of the sweet corn line 'Silver Queen' watered with FB₁ (black bars), FB₂ (white bars), or a combination of FB₁ and FB₂. Data from the ddH₂O treatment are not shown, as there were no fumonisins detected in those treatments. Values are the mean \pm standard deviation, and different letters indicate a statistically significant difference among treatments. ND indicates none detected. (Inset) Root weights of maize seedlings watered with FB₁, FB₂, or a combination of FB₁ and FB₂. Values are the mean \pm standard deviation, and difference among treatments.

Table 1. Fumonisin Recoveries in Soils and Roots of Treated Maize ${\rm Seedlings}^a$

			FB ₁ /FB ₂	
treatment	FB ₁	FB ₂	FB ₁	FB ₂
percent recovered in roots	0.11 (0.01) a	0.05(0.01)b	0.07 (0.03) ab	0.01 (0.003) c
percent recovered in soils	81.1 (14.4)	63.0 (10.4)	75.0 (7.0)	67.0 (6.5)

^a Values are the mean with standard deviation in parentheses, and different letters indicate a statistically significant difference among treatments.

Except where indicated otherwise, all data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation, and differences among means were considered to be significant if the probability (*p*) was ≤ 0.05 . All results for plant tissues are expressed as dry weight.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To determine if FB₁ is preferentially taken up by roots of maize seedlings compared to FB₂, roots were analyzed for fumonisin content. The evidence for the presence of fumonisins (uptake or translocation) is the inhibition of ceramide synthase as seen by the accumulation of the sphingoid bases and their 1-phosphates. The roots of the FB₁-treated plants accumulated 32.9 ± 3.8 nmol/g (n = 3), whereas the FB₂-treated plants accumulated $4.4 \pm$ 1.8 nmol/g (n = 3) from the soil. The preferential accumulation of FB₁ over FB₂ was most notable in the combined watering, where FB₁ accumulated to roughly 10 times the amount of FB₂ (FB₁ = 19.4 ± 4.6 nmol/g, FB₂ = 1.7 ± 0.3 nmol/g) (Figure 2A). The reduced accumulation of FB₂ compared to FB₁ was also apparent when the data were expressed as a percentage of the total dose applied (Table 1). For example, in the FB₁-only



Figure 3. Accumulation of the sphingoid bases phytosphingosine (Pso) (**A**) and sphinganine (Sa) (**B**) and the sphingoid base 1-phosphates phytosphingosine 1-phosphate (Pso 1-P) (**C**) and sphinganine 1-phosphate (Sa 1-P) (**D**) in the roots of maize seedlings watered with FB₁, FB₂, or a combination of FB₁ and FB₂. Values are the mean \pm standard deviation, and different letters indicate a statistically significant difference among treatments. ND indicates none detected.

exposed plants there was approximately twice as much FB_1 as there was FB_2 in the FB_2 -only exposed plants, expressed as a percentage of the total dose applied. In the combined treatment (FB_1 plus FB_2) there was approximately 6 times more FB_1 compared to FB_2 .

Both fumonisins were bioavailable to the root tissue, as evidenced by the significant (p < 0.05) elevation in the sphingoid bases and sphingoid base 1-phosphates (**Figure 3**). The sphingoid bases and sphingoid base 1-phosphates were elevated in the FB₂ treatments as compared to the controls. However, the elevation caused by FB₁ or FB₁ plus FB₂ treatment was significantly greater than that caused by the FB₂ only treatment (**Figure 3**). This reduced elevation by FB₂ only when compared to the elevations seen in the FB₁ and FB₁/FB₂ treatments is due to the lesser amount of FB₂ in the roots (**Figure 2A**) and, thus, less inhibition of ceramide synthase and accumulation of ceramide precursors and their metabolites. The reduced amount of FB₂ compared to FB₁ in the roots was expected because the watering solutions contained almost twice as much FB₁. However, the

 Table 2.
 Pearson Product Moment Correlation Between Total Fumonisin

 Content in Roots and Leaves and Free Pso, Free Sa, Pso-1-P, and Sa-1-P in
 Roots and Leaves^a

A. Correlation of FB in Roots to Elevation of Sphingoid Bases and Sphingoid

Base 1-Phosphates in Boots

	Pso roots	Sa roots	Pso 1-P roots	Sa 1-P roots
correlation coefficient	0.911	0.948	0.875	0.873
<i>p</i> value	0.000637	0.0000977	0.00201	0.00210

B. Correlation of FB in Roots to Elevation of Sphingoid Bases and Sphingoid Base 1-Phosphates in Leaves

	Pso leaves	Sa leaves	Pso 1-P leaves	Sa 1-P leaves
correlation coefficient	0.686	0.687	0.779	0.752
<i>p</i> value	0.0413	0.0410	0.0134	0.0193

C. Correlation of FB in Leaves to Elevation of Sphingoid Bases and Sphingoid Base 1-Phosphates in Leaves

	Pso leaves	Sa leaves	Pso 1-P leaves	Sa 1-P leaves
correlation coefficient <i>p</i> value	0.415	0.359	0.322	-0.145
	0.266	0.342	0.398	0.710

^a Pso, phytosphingosine; Sa, sphinganine; Pso 1-P, phytosphingosine 1-phosphate; Sa 1-P, sphinganine 1-phosphate. p values of <0.05 are considered to be statistically significant (n = 9 pots).

difference in the roots was greater than would be predicted on the basis of either the watering solutions or the relative differences in FB_1 and FB_2 detected in the soils (Figure 2B and Table 1).

The maize seedling roots also showed effects from the presence of fumonisins in the watering solutions. Both of the treatments containing FB₁ (FB₁ only as well as the combined FB₁/FB₂ treatment) had reduced root mass at the time of harvest compared to the controls and the FB₂ treatment group (Figure 2A, inset). This was visually apparent upon harvesting, as the treatment groups that had been dosed with FB₁ had clearly reduced root development and never formed a cohesive "root ball" as was formed by the FB₂ treatment group and the controls. The difference between the effects of FB₁ and FB₂ on root mass may be due to total fumonisin load in the tissues, as the amounts of FB₂ in the roots were lower than FB₁ in individual and combined treatments. Future work could address this with watering assays using identical concentrations of FB₁ and FB₂.

To determine if FB₁ was translocated from roots to aerial tissues, leaf tissue was analyzed for FB1 and FB2. Initial results suggested that fumonisins may be translocated to the leaves, but further analysis indicated that this was not the case. In the first experiment, the results of the analysis for FB₁ and FB₂ in the leaf tissues revealed the sporadic presence of FB₁ and FB₂ in the leaf tissue. The ratio of FB_1 to FB_2 matched the ratios in the watering solutions in the combined treatment group (in the instances where fumonisins were found in leaf tissue of this group). It was also noted that the fumonisins were most often present in the lower leaves of plants; taken together, these observations suggested that the presence of fumonisin in the leaves was a result of inadvertent contamination of the external portion of the leaves, during watering or harvesting of the plants. Correlation analyses were performed to determine if the fumonisin present correlated with the elevations in sphingoid bases and sphingoid base 1-phosphates. The significant correlations found were between the fumonisin levels in the roots and sphingoid base and sphingoid base 1-phosphate concentrations in the roots (Table 2A) and between the fumonisin present in the root tissues and the



Figure 4. Sphingoid base accumulation in leaf tissue of the susceptible sweet corn line 'Silver Queen' seedlings watered with ddH₂O, FB₁, FB₂, or a combination of FB₁ and FB₂: first leaf (**A**); second leaf (**B**); third leaf (**C**). Values are the mean \pm standard deviation, and different letters indicate a statistically significant difference among treatments within a given leaf. Pso, phytosphingosine; Sa, sphinganine; Pso 1-P, phytosphingosine 1-phosphate; Sa 1-P, sphinganine 1-phosphate.

sphingoid bases and sphingoid base 1-phosphates in the leaf tissues (Table 2B). The only data that were not significantly correlated were those of the relationship between fumonisins in the leaves and sphingoid bases and sphingoid base 1-phosphates in those leaves (Table 2C), supporting the conclusion that the sporadic detection of fumonisins in leaf tissue was not due to translocation from the roots to leaves. To confirm this conclusion, the watering experiment was repeated, but instead of watering from the surface of the soil, the watering was performed by filling the trays beneath each pot with the watering solutions. This allowed us to water "from the bottom up" and, in so doing, limited the chance of inadvertent contamination of leaves. In the second experiment, no evidence of fumonisin in the leaves was found, whereas FB₁ and FB₂ were detected in roots at levels similar to what was seen in the first experiment (data not shown). This confirmed that fumonisins are not translocated from roots to leaves by watering.

Unlike the fumonisins, the plant-derived sphingoid bases and sphingoid base 1-phosphates were translocated into the aerial tissues of the plants, as the levels of these compounds were clearly elevated in the leaves of plants watered with either FB₁ or the combined treatment of FB₁/FB₂. The elevations observed were greatest in the first leaf and diminished in the second and third leaves, but were still significantly elevated (p < 0.05) compared to either the control or FB₂-only treatment groups (**Figures 4** and **5**). For example, phytosphingosine (Pso) in the FB₁ treatment group was 176 ± 28 , 125 ± 5 , and 48 ± 2 nmol/g in leaves 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Similar levels and trends were seen for all compounds analyzed (**Figures 4** and **5**).



Figure 5. Sphingoid base 1-phosphate accumulation in leaf tissue of the susceptible sweet corn line 'Silver Queen' seedlings watered with ddH₂O, FB₁, FB₂, or a combination of FB₁ and FB₂: first leaf (**A**); second leaf (**B**); third leaf (**C**). Values are the mean \pm standard deviation, and different letters indicate a statistically significant difference among treatments within a given leaf. Pso, phytosphingosine; Sa, sphinganine; Pso 1-P, phytosphingosine 1-phosphate; Sa 1-P, sphinganine 1-phosphate.

The evidence is clear that fumonisin accumulation in the root tissues of maize seedlings causes disruption of sphingolipid metabolism. It is still unclear from this study exactly how disruption of sphingolipid metabolism results in the reduction of root mass. In Arabidopsis thaliana, disruption of sphingolipid metabolism via exposure to FB_1 was shown to increase levels of sphinganine, sphinganine 1-phosphate, phytosphingosine, and phytosphingosine 1-phosphate in a mutant line that had had the sphingoid base 1-phosphate lyase knocked out (25). This plant line, when challenged with fumonisin, revealed hypersensitivity and increased toxicity from the fumonisins, compared to wild type lines. This was presumably due to the increased levels of phytosphingosine and phytosphingosine 1-phosphate in the mutant line, as these were the major sphingolipid metabolites that accumulated upon challenge with FB1. Challenge with fumonisin in Nicotiana, Lemna, and Lycopersicon species also revealed an increase in free sphingoid bases prior to the onset of visible disease symptoms (12). Specifically, all three genera evidenced an increase in sphinganine and phytosphingosine when exposed to fumonisin. The disruption of sphingolipid metabolism in many plant species, particularly the increased accumulation of sphingoid bases and sphingoid base 1-phosphates, results in increased toxicity. This provides strong evidence that disruption of sphingolipid metabolism is the proximate cause responsible for the disease symptoms (bleaching, reduced root mass, and leaf lesions) seen in maize seedling watering assays.

Our experiment provided results that are reflective of previous data collected (5). We showed similar reduced root weights, and

although the current study did not measure plant heights, and we observed no leaf lesions, these discrepancies may be accounted for in that our plants were harvested at 14 days after planting, whereas those in the Williams et al. (5) study were grown for 21 days. It can be hypothesized that should our assay have been extended to 21 days as well, leaf lesions would have become evident. In our work and in previous studies (5, 21), the severity of symptoms is greater in assays involving fungal inoculations than in watering assays with pure fumonisins, and this is likely due to other fungal virulence factors rather than any small differences in fumonisin exposure levels. The differences in fumonisin translocation from roots into aerial tissues may also be the result of fungal colonization of those aerial tissues. Future studies will address the issues of fungal colonization of tissues (leaves in particular) and how this colonization (or lack of) affects fumonisin production/movement within the plant tissues. Specifically, a watering experiment comparing fumonisin translocation using maize seedlings grown from uninoculated seeds and seeds inoculated with a fumonisin-nonproducing strain of F. verticillioides and then watered with fumonisins could address the possible role of the fungus on toxin movement.

Our hypothesis that FB_1 would be translocated into the aerial tissues to a higher degree than FB_2 was not supported by the data under the conditions of watering. As no fumonisins were translocated at all, there must be some specific interaction between the plant and the invading fungus that allows or facilitates the FB_1 accumulation previously documented. This was seen previously when seedlings were grown from kernels inoculated with *F. verticillioides (21)*. How, exactly, this relates to disease development is unclear.

Fumonisins are not translocated into aerial tissues of maize seedlings when exposed via watering. This is different from the case when fumonisin exposure is introduced via fumonisinproducing F. verticillioides that have been inoculated onto the seed prior to planting. Sphingoid bases and sphingoid base 1-phosphates that become elevated in root tissues of plants exposed to fumonisins via watering are translocated into the aerial tissues of these seedlings. The elevations seen in the roots and the leaves are greater when the plants are watered with FB_1 than when watered with FB_2 . This difference appears to be due to the preferential uptake of FB_1 from the soil and accumulation in roots in comparison to FB₂. The physiological effects of sphingoid base 1-phosphate elevation in leaves, such as changes in stomatal state or transpiration rates, will be addressed in future work because it has been shown that phytosphingosine 1-phosphate and sphingosine kinase activity can modulate stomatal aperture in Arabidopsis (18). Root weights, fumonisin accumulation, and elevations in sphingoid bases and sphingoid base 1-phosphates all indicate that FB1 and FB2 are inhibiting ceramide synthase in these plants, but because less FB₂ is getting into the root tissues, the effects are consequently less in plants exposed to FB₂ alone.

The observation that the fumonisins are not translocated when watered implies that there is some particular facet of the plant-fungus interaction that promotes the translocation of fumonisins. Alternatively, when the fungus is in close association with the roots, this interaction could facilitate fumonisin entry into the roots. The sphingoid bases and sphingoid base 1-phosphates that are elevated in root tissues under either exposure system are translocated in both cases, and this observation seems somewhat counterintuitive chemically, as the fumonisins are much more water-soluble than the sphingoid bases and their 1-phosphates. This suggests that some mechanism other than passive translocation is at work to move the sphingoid bases and sphingoid base 1-phosphates throughout the plant, potentially involving carrier molecules. Interestingly, the amount of FB₁ and FB₂ accumulated in roots when the plants were watered with the combination of FB₁/FB₂ was significantly less than when the plants were watered with only FB₁ or FB₂ (**Table 1**). One possible explanation could be that FB₁ and FB₂ are competing for some transporter and have different affinities for the transporter. Another possibility is that the combined dose is over an unknown threshold that overwhelms the plant's ability to sequester fumonisins, and thus less total fumonisins are accumulated. Future studies will address these possibilities.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Jency Showker and Britton Ormiston for outstanding technical assistance.

LITERATURE CITED

- (1) Wang, E.; Norred, W. P.; Bacon, C. W.; Riley, R. T.; Merrill, A. H., Jr. Inhibition of sphingolipid biosynthesis by fumonisins. Implications for diseases associated with *Fusarium moniliforme*. J. Biol. Chem. 1991, 266, 14486–14490.
- (2) Marasas, W. F. O.; Kellerman, T. S.; Gelderbloom, W. C. A.; Coetzer, J. A. W.; Theil, P. G.; Van der Lugt, J. J. Leukoencephalomalacia in a horse induced by fumonisin B₁ isolated from *Fusarium moniliforme. Onderstepoort J. Vet. Res.* **1988**, *55*, 197–203.
- (3) Ross, P. F.; Nelson, P. E.; Richard, J. L.; Osweiler, G. D.; Rice, L. G.; Plattner, R. D.; Wilson, T. M. Production of fumonisins by *Fusarium moniliforme* and *Fusarium proliferatum* isolates associated with equine leukoencephalomalacia and a pulmonary edema syndrome in swine. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1990**, *56*, 3225–3226.
- (4) EHC 219 (2000) Environmental Health Criteria 219: Fumonisin B₁. In *International Programme on Chemical Safety*; Marasas, W. H. O., Miller, J. D., Riley, R. T., Visconti, A., Eds.; United Nations Environmental Programme, The International Labour Organization, and the World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2000; pp 1–150.
- (5) Williams, L. D.; Glenn, A. E.; Zimeri, A. M.; Bacon, C. W.; Smith, M. A.; Riley, R. T. Fumonisin disruption of ceramide biosynthesis in maize roots and the effects on plant development and *Fusarium verticillioides*-induced seedling disease. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2007, 55, 2937–2946.
- (6) Desjardins, A. E.; Plattner, R. D.; Nelsen, T. C.; Leslie, J. F. Genetic analysis of fumonisin production and virulence of *Gibberella fujikuroi* mating population A (*Fusarium moniliforme*) on maize (*Zea mays*) seedlings. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1995**, *61*, 79–86.
- (7) IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer). Fumonisin B₁. In *IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans: Some Traditional Medicines, Some Mycotoxins, Naphthalene and Styrene*; IARC Press: Lyon, France, 2002; No. 82, pp 301–366.
- (8) Marasas, W. F. O.; Riley, R. T.; Hendricks, K. A.; Stevens, V. L.; Sadler, T. W.; Gelineau-van Waes, J.; Missmer, S. A.; Cabrera, J.; Torres, O.; Gelderblom, W. C. A.; Allegood, J.; Martínez, C.; Maddox, J.; Miller, J. D.; Starr, L.; Sullards, M. C.; Roman, A. V.; Voss, K. A.; Wang, E.; Merrill, A. H., Jr. Fumonisins disrupt sphingolipid metabolism, folate transport, and neural tube development in embryo culture and *in vivo*: a potential risk factor for human neural tube defects among populations consuming fumonisincontaminated maize. *J. Nutr.* 2004, *134*, 711–716.
- (9) Rheeder, J. P.; Marasas, W. F. O.; Vismer, H. F. Production of fumonisin analogs by *Fusarium* species. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2002, 68, 2101–2105.
- (10) Bartok, T.; Szecsi, A.; Szekeres, A.; Mesterhazy, A.; Bartok, M. Detection of new fumonisin mycotoxins and fumonisin-like compounds

by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography/electrospray ionization ion trap mass spectrometry. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **2006**, *20*, 2447–2462.

- (11) Riley, R. T.; Enongene, E.; Voss, K. A.; Norred, W. P.; Meredith, F. I.; Sharma, R. P.; Williams, L. D.; Carlson, D. B.; Spitsbergen, J.; Merrill, A. H., Jr. Sphingolipid perturbations as mechanisms for fumonisin carcinogenesis. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 2001, 109, 301–308.
- (12) Abbas, H. K.; Tanaka, T.; Duke, S. O.; Porter, J. K.; Wray, E. M.; Hodges, L.; Sessions, A. E.; Wang, E.; Merrill, A. H., Jr.; Riley, R. T. Fumonisin- and AAL-toxin-induced disruption of sphingolipid metabolism with accumulation of free sphingoid bases. *Plant Physiol.* **1994**, *106*, 1085–1093.
- (13) Merrill, A. H., Jr.; Sullards, M. C.; Wang, E.; Voss, K. A.; Riley, R. T. Sphingolipid metabolism: roles in signal transduction and disruption by fumonisins. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 2001, *109*, 283–289.
- (14) Tanaka, T.; Abbas, H. K.; Duke, S. O. Structure-dependent phytotoxicity of fumonisins and related compounds in a duckweed bioassay. *Phytochemistry* **1993**, *33*, 779–785.
- (15) Ng, C. K.-Y.; Hetherington, A. M. Sphingolipid-mediated signaling in plants. Ann. Bot. 2001, 88, 957–965.
- (16) Worrall, D.; Ng, C. K.-Y.; Hetherington, A. M. Sphingolipids, new players in plant signaling. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2003, *8*, 317–320.
- (17) Lynch, D. V.; Dunn, T. M. An introduction to plant sphingolipids and a review of recent advances in understanding their metabolism and function. *New Phytol.* **2004**, *61*, 677–702.
- (18) Coursol, S.; Stunff, H. E.; Lynch, D. V.; Gilroy, S.; Assman, S. M.; Spiegel, S. *Arabidopsis* sphingosine kinase and the effects of phytosphingosine-1-phosphate on stomatal aperture. *Plant Physiol.* 2005, *137*, 724–737.
- (19) Shi, L.; Bielawski, J.; Mu, J.; Dong, H.; Teng, C.; Zhang, J.; Yang, X.; Tomishige, N.; Hanada, K.; Hannun, Y. A.; Zuo, J. Involvement of sphingoid bases in mediating reactive oxygen intermediate production and programmed cell death in *Arabidopsis. Cell Res.* 2007, *17*, 1030–1140.
- (20) Glenn, A. E.; Zitomer, N. C.; Zimeri, A. M.; Williams, L. D.; Riley, R. T.; Proctor, R. H. Transformation-mediated complementation of a FUM gene cluster deletion in *Fusarium verticillioides* restores both fumonisin production and pathogenicity on maize seedlings. *Mol. Plant–Microbe Interact.* 2008, 21, 87–97.
- (21) Zitomer, N. C.; Glenn, A. E.; Bacon, C. W.; Riley, R. T. A single extraction method for the analysis by liquid chromatography/ tandem mass spectrometry of fumonisins and biomarkers of disrupted sphingolipid metabolism in tissues of maize seedlings. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 2008, 391, 2257–2263.
- (22) Meredith, F. I. Isolation and characterization of fumonisins. In *Methods in Enzymology*; Merrill, A. H., Jr., Hannun, Y. A., Eds.; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, 2000; pp 361–373.
- (23) Torres, O. A.; Palencia, E.; Lopez de Pratdesaba, L.; Grajeda, R.; Fuentes, M.; Speer, M. C.; Merrill, A. H., Jr.; O'Donnell, K.; Bacon, C. W.; Glenn, A. E.; Riley, R. T. Estimated fumonisin exposure in Guatemala is greatest in consumers of lowland maize. *J. Nutr.* 2007, *137*, 2723–2729.
- (24) Bacon, C. W.; Hinton, D. M.; Richardson, M. D. A corn seedling assay for resistance to *Fusarium moniliforme*. *Plant Dis.* **1994**, *78*, 302–305.
- (25) Tsegaye, Y.; Richardson, C. G.; Bravo, J. E.; Mulcahy, B. J.; Lynch, D. V.; Markham, J. E.; Jaworski, J. G.; Chen, M.; Cahoon, E. B.; Dunn, T. M. *Arabidopsis* mutants lacking long chain base phosphate lyase are fumonisin-sensitive and accumulate trihydroxy-18:1 long chain base phosphate. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2007**, *282*, 28195–28206.

Received for review January 13, 2010. Revised manuscript received April 9, 2010. Accepted April 13, 2010.